```
Case 3:08-mj-02727-LSP
                               Document 4
                                              Filed 09/08/2008
                                                                  Page 1 of 12
    KAREN P. HEWITT
 1
    United States Attorney
    JAIME D. PARKS
    Assistant United States Attorney
    REES F. MORGAN
 3
    Assistant United States Attorney
    California State Bar No. 229899
 4
    880 Front Street, Room 6293
 5
    San Diego, California 92101-8893
    Telephone: (619) 557-7847
    rees.morgan@usdoj.gov
 6
 7
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    United States of America
 8
 9
                              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                            SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
                                                 08MJ2729
                                                 08MJ2724
12
                              Plaintiff,
                                                 08MJ2726
                                                 08MJ2727
13
                                                 08MJ2741
                                                 08MJ2722
    Carlos ARENAS-Natividad
14
                                                 08MJ2737
    Victor Hugo COLIN-Ventura
                                                 08MJ2725
15
    Marco Antonio CONTRERAS-Perez
                                                 08MJ2711
          Javier CORTES-Magana
                                                 08MJ2734
16
    Mario DIAZ-Hernandez
                                                 08MJ2721
    Eric GUZMAN-Lazalde
                                                 08MJ2657
17
    Jacinto HERNANDEZ-Hernandez
                                                 08MJ2737
    Angel Fernando MARTIN-Luna
                                                 08MJ2720
    Marvin RAMOS-Madrid
18
    Adan del Carmen RAMOS-Martinez
                                                 Date: September 9, 2008
19
    Oscar RIVERA-Ochoa
                                                 Time: 9:30 a.m.
    Gloria Patricia RODRIGUEZ-Ramirez
                                                 The Honorable Leo S. Papas
20
    Louis TORRES-Barajas
    Javier VALLE-Izazaga
                                                 UNITED STATES' MEMORANDUM
21
                                                 OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
                                                 SUPPORT OF THE UNITED STATES
22
                                                 MOTION TO DETAIN CERTAIN
                                                 CRIMINAL ALIEN DEFENDANTS
23
24
          COMES NOW the plaintiff, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its counsel,
25
    Karen P. Hewitt, United States Attorney, and Rees F. Morgan, Assistant United States Attorney, and
    hereby files at the request of this Court its memorandum of points and authorities in support of its
26
27
    motion to detain certain criminal alien defendants.
28
```

I.

INTRODUCTION

With respect to criminal aliens, the United States has requested that this Court require the Defendants to prove that they can lawfully remain in the U.S. if released on bail while their criminal case is proceeding. Condition 7 requires that alien defendants seeking to post bail first establish that they have a legal right to remain in the United States. The Court recently objected to this practice, as the Court believes that requiring criminal aliens to satisfy Condition 7 amounts to de facto detention. Given that concern, the Court, on its own motion, set detention hearings for each of the above-captioned Defendants.

In response to the Court's concern regarding de facto detention, the United States argued before this Court that federal detention is inevitable and unavoidable for each of these Defendants. Even if the Defendants successfully post bail as set by this Court, federal immigration authorities will take custody of each Defendant once they are released from the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service, and that federal immigration custody is *mandatory with no possibility of bail*. At the Court's request, the government now submits this memorandum to explain (1) why these Defendants face mandatory detention, with no possibility of bail, once they are transferred to the custody of immigration authorities, and (2) why it is preferable to require that the Defendants remain in the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service pending the conclusion of their cases. ^{1/2}

II.

ARGUMENT

A. The Defendants Are Statutorily Ineligible for Bond Once in the Custody of Immigration Authorities and Thus Will Never Be Released From Federal Custody

While the United States submits this motion to answer the Court's specific question regarding mandatory detention by the immigration authorities, the United States continues to believe that detention is appropriate for each of these individuals, as they constitute an unreasonable risk of flight based upon their specific characteristics. Each Defendant is an alien with no legal right to remain in the United States, each faces assured deportation at the close of their proceedings (regardless of outcome), and each has limited, if any, contacts to the community. Based on these characteristics, the United States believes that there are no conditions or set of conditions that will reasonably assure their appearance at future proceedings in their cases, should they be released on bond.

22

23

18

19

24

26

25

27 28

None of the named Defendants will ever be released from custody in the United States. Should this Court permit any of these Defendants to post a criminal bond/bail, they simply will be transferred from the custody of the U.S. Marshals into the custody of the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"). Once in DHS custody, these Defendants must remain in detention. Indeed, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security *is statutorily prohibited* from considering bail in any of his cases. Accordingly, as this Court has noted, the bail pitch process is a fiction with respect to these Defendants.

The starting point for determining that each of these September 8, 2008 Defendants is ineligible to be released on bail once in the custody of immigration authorities is 8 U.S.C. § 1226. Title 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c) provides that "the Attorney General shall take into custody" certain categories of criminal aliens "when the alien is released." See 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(1) (emphasis added.)^{2/2} Accordingly, but for certain situations involving the need to protect persons cooperating with law enforcement authorities (none of which apply here), the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security must detain the criminal aliens identified in § 1226(c)(1) without the possibility of release on bail. To determine which categories of criminal aliens must be detained without bail by DHS, 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c) refers to two other statutes: 8 U.S.C. § 1227 and 8 U.S.C. § 1182. These statutes apply to two different categories of criminal aliens: those that were apprehended attempting to enter the United States at a port of entry and thus are considered "inadmissible aliens," and those that were arrested within the United States and thus are considered "deportable aliens." As explained below, each of the Defendants falls into one of these two categories and, under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(1), must be detained without bail once in the custody of immigration authorities.

> 1. Title 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c) Requires the Mandatory Detention by DHS of Criminal Aliens Who Are Inadmissible Under 8 U.S.C. § 1182.

8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(1) provides that the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security³ shall detain any alien who "is inadmissible by reason of having committed any offense covered in

Pursuant to 6 U.S.C. § 557 (Homeland Security Act of 2002), reference to the Attorney General of the United States in 8 U.S.C. § 1226 is now deemed to refer to the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security.

26

27

28

Section 1182(a)(2) of this title." See 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(1)(A). Section 1182(a)(2) provides that aliens applying for admission to the United States are rendered inadmissible if they have been convicted of. or have admitted committing, a wide variety of crimes. These crimes include 1) crimes of moral turpitude; 2) certain controlled substance convictions; 3) multiple convictions where the aggregate sentences totaled 5 or more years; 4) controlled substance trafficking, whether convicted or suspected; 5) any aggravated felony as defined under 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43); and 6) significant human trafficking, whether convicted or suspected. Therefore, any alien apprehended at a port of entry (and thus deemed an applicant for admission) who has been convicted of the above crimes is ineligible for admission to the United States and *must be* detained without bail once in the custody of immigration authorities. As detailed below, many of the Defendants fall into the categories listed in 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2) and must be detained without bail once transferred to DHS custody. As a practical matter, these inadmissible Defendants will never be released on bail in the United States, even if this Court permitted them to post a bond for release from the custody of the U.S. Marshals. $\frac{4}{3}$

2. Title 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c) Requires the Mandatory Detention by DHS of Criminal Aliens Who Are Deportable Under 8 U.S.C. § 1227.

Section 1226(c)(1) provides that the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security shall detain any alien who "is deportable by reason of having committed any offense covered in Section 1227(a)(2)(A)(ii), (A)(iii), (B), (C), or (D) of this title." See 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c)(1)(B). Section 1227 renders deportable any alien who has been convicted of a wide variety of crimes. Most importantly for purposes of this memorandum, 8 U.S.C. § 1227 provides that an alien is deportable if the alien has been convicted of 1) any aggravated felony, as defined by 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(43), 2) any controlled substance conviction (but for marijuana possession of under 30 grams), 3) virtually any firearm offense, and 4) crimes involving human trafficking. See 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(ii), (B), (C), and (D). Therefore, any alien captured within the United States who has been convicted of the above crimes is deportable and

Those defendants who were apprehended at a port of entry (and thus are deemed applicants for admission) also must be detained by DHS under 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A). That statute provides that, "in the case of an alien who is an applicant for admission, if the examining immigration officer determines that an alien seeking admission is not clearly and beyond a doubt entitled to be admitted, the alien shall be detained..." See 8 U.S.C. § 1225(b)(2)(A) (emphasis added). Accordingly, the inadmissible Defendants face mandatory detention by immigration authorities under two separate statutes.

must be detained without bail once in the custody of immigration authorities. As further explained below, many of the Defendants fall into this category. Like the inadmissible criminal aliens, these deportable Defendants will never be released on bail in the United States, even if this Court allowed them to post a bond for release from the custody of the U.S. Marshals. For all practical purposes, they, like the inadmissible Defendants, will remain in federal custody until they are deported.

3. Each of the Defendants Falls Into a Statutory Category that Requires Mandatory Detention by DHS.

As demonstrated in the following charts, the Defendants face mandatory detention once in DHS custody under 8 U.S.C. \$ 1226(c)(1).

a. Criminal Defendants

Case No	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Charge</u>	Place of Arrest	<u>Deported</u>	Convictions
	Hernandez-	1326	San Diego	8/19/08	1/6/1997 poss c/s for
08mj2737	Hernandez				sale with a firearm
					aggravated felony
					8 USC 1227(a)(2)
					(A)(iii)
08mj2721	Rivera-	1326	near Imperial	8/29/05	09/06/2000
	Ochoa		Beach (MIA)		poss/purchase of c/s
					for sale
					aggravated felony
					8 USC 1227(a)(2)
					(A)(iii)

1		Ramos-	1326	Jamul, CA	7/27/08	7/19/04 attempted
2	08mj2711	Madrid			(Guatemala)	home invasion
3						armed/force
4						aggravated felony
5						8 USC 1227(a)(2)
6						(A)(iii)
7		Arenas-	1326	Campo, CA	3/7/03	8/10/2001 first
8	08mj2729	Natividad				degree burglary and
10						sexual battery
11						aggravated felony
12						8 USC 1227(a)(2)
13						(A)(iii)
14	08mj2720	Valle-	1326	San Diego, CA	4/16/01	11/20/1991 rape by
15		Izazaga				force
16						aggravated felony
17						8 USC 1227(a)(2)
18						(A)(iii)
19	08mj2724	Colin-	1326	San Ysidro, CA	7/8/2008	12/18/2003 reentry
20		Ventura				after deport
21						8/11/2003 reentry
22						after deport
23						12/4/2007 carrying
24						concealed weapon
25						firearm offense
26						8 USC 1227(a)(2)(C)
27						

SYPOE

6/12/2007 First

4/24/2005

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1 /	
14	
15	
15	
15 16	

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

08mj2722

Guzman-

	Lazalde				Degree Burglary aggravated felony 8 USC 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(I)
08mj2657	Rodriguez-	1326	Tecate, CA	1/12/2006	1/2004 1135.5 HS-
	Ramirez				Poss/Purchase
					Cocaine Base For
					Sale
					controlled substance
					conviction (see also
					8/01 and 8/96
					convictions)
					8 USC
					1227(a)(2)(B)(I)
08mj2741	Diaz-	1324	SYPOE	N/A	arriving alien, 8 USC
	Hernandez				§ 1225

b. Alien Smugglers

The following five Defendants are all either charged with alien smuggling (bringing an alien to the United States), or were foot guides involved with alien smuggling at the time of their arrest. None of them have immigration status to permit them to lawfully enter or remain in the United States.

Unlike the Defendants discussed above in Section A., these five Defendants, because they are not arriving aliens and do not have aggravated felony or other disqualifying convictions, will be entitled to have their administrative detention status reviewed by an immigration judge (IJ). The IJ may sustain the detention order or set conditions, but in any event will immediately begin the removal process. Because they were apprehended smuggling illegal aliens at the time of their arrest, it is extremely

unlikely that they would be entitled to any form of relief from deportation. See Title 8 U.S.C. § 1227 $(a)(1)(E)(i)^{5/2}$.

Case No	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Charge</u>	Place of Arrest	<u>Deported</u>	Convictions
08mj2725	Martin-Luna	1326	San Ysidro, CA	7/23/2008	N/A
08mj2727	Cortes-	1326	Jacumba, CA	4/2/2008	N/A
	Magana				
08mj2726	Contreras-	1324	San Ysidro, CA	N/A	N/A
	Perez				
08mj2687	Torres-	1324	Alpine, CA	N/A	N/A
	Barajas				
08mj2734	Ramos-	1324	Boulevard, CA	N/A	N/A
	Martinez				

B. The Defendants Should Remain in the Custody of the U.S. Marshals Service Pending the Outcome of Their Cases.

Since the Defendants will remain in federal custody regardless of this Court's decision with respect to bail, the Court should order the Defendants detained in the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service rather than permitting Defendants to potentially post a bond and be transferred to the custody of the immigration authorities. Remanding the Defendants to the custody of the U.S. Marshals is preferable for at least two reasons.

1. Detention by the U.S. Marshals Will Assure that Defendants Appear at Future Court Proceedings and Preserve the Government's Ability to Prosecute the Defendants for their Instant Offenses.

In <u>Denmore v. Kim</u>, 538 U.S. 510 (2003), the U.S. Supreme Court held that the immigration authority's detention of criminal non-citizens without bail did not violate the Fifth Amendment

⁵/In general, any alien who (prior to the date of entry, at the time of any entry, or within 5 years of the date of any entry) knowingly has encouraged, induced, assisted, abetted, or aided any other alien to enter or to try to enter the United States in violation of law is deportable.

10

14 15

13

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

proscription against deprivation of liberty without due process of law. Denmore, 538 U.S. at 528-531. However, the Court sanctioned mandatory detention only for "the brief period" needed to remove the criminal alien. Id. at 529 (noting that criminal aliens held in mandatory detention under 8 U.S.C. § 1226(c) will typically be removed in less than 5 months). Accordingly, the Supreme Court's opinion in Denmore has been viewed by immigration authorities as requiring the expeditious removal of criminal aliens. Indeed, once a removal order has been issued or reinstated, immigration authorities must remove a criminal alien within 90 days of the inception of the alien's DHS custody. See 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(1)(A).

Such prompt deportations will thwart the Government's ability to prosecute the Defendants for the crimes with which they are currently charged. Should this Court permit one of these Defendants to post bond and be released into the custody of the immigration authorities, DHS is under both statutory and judicial pressure to expedite the Defendant's removal from the United States, to say nothing of the logistical pressure of housing additional aliens. If the Defendant is deported by DHS prior to the resolution of his case, the Government will lose the opportunity to establish the Defendant's guilt in court and the Defendant will escape the potential sanction imposed by society for his criminal conduct. Accordingly, this Court should order that these Defendants continue to be detained by the U.S. Marshals to avoid deportation before their cases have been resolved and, if guilty, the appropriate punishment has been meted out.

> Detention by the U.S. Marshals Is Beneficial for Defendants Since Time 2. Spent in the Custody of the U.S. Marshals Is Considered Time Served by the Bureau of Prisons, While Time Spent in DHS Custody Is Not.

In addition to society's interest in seeing Defendants punished for their criminal conduct, it would also benefit the Defendants if they remained in the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service pending the resolution of their cases. In this jurisdiction, the vast majority of criminal aliens charged with a crime either plead guilty or are convicted. In all likelihood then, the Defendants will face a term of imprisonment under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP"). While the BOP recognizes time served in the custody of the U.S. Marshals as time served towards their term of imprisonment with the

All of these Defendants have been removed previously. Thus, upon transfer to DHS custody, each defendant's prior removal order will be reinstated automatically and the 90-day clock prescribed under 8 U.S.C. § 1231(a)(1)(A) will begin to run.

	Case 3:08-mj-02727-LSP Document 4 Filed 09/08/2008 Page 10 of 12
1	BOP, the same is not true with respect to time served in the custody of immigration authorities. $^{1/2}$ Given
2	the usual course for those charged with a 1326 violation, Defendants almost assuredly will benefit from
3	remaining in U.S. Marshal custody as opposed to DHS custody, since the time they serve with the
4	Marshals will shorten the length of their stay with the BOP.
5	III.
6	CONCLUSION
7	For the reasons stated above, the United States respectfully requests that this Court order the
8	Defendants detained in the custody of the U.S. Marshals Service.
9	DATED: September 8, 2008.
10	Respectfully submitted,
11	KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney
12	Officed States Attorney
13	<u>/s/ Rees F. Morgan</u> REES F. MORGAN
14	Assistant United States Attorney
15	/s/ Jaime D. Parks
16	Jaime D. Parks Assistant United States Attorney
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3585, the Attorney General is responsible for sentence
23	computation decisions. <u>United States v. Wilson</u> , 112 S.Ct. 1351 (1992). This authority has been delegated to the Federal Bureau of Prisons. 28 C.F.R. § 0.96. Program Statement 5880.28, Sentence
24	Computation Manual establishes the policies and procedures utilized by the Federal Bureau of Prisons for computation of sentences. It states:
2526	Official detention does not include time spent in the custody of the U.S. Immigration and
27	Naturalization Service (INS) under the provisions of 8 U.S.C. § 1252 pending a final determination of deportability. An inmate being held by INS pending a civil deportation
28	determination is not being held in "official detention" pending criminal charges. (See <u>Ramirez-Osorio v. INS</u> , 745 F.2d 937, rehearing denied 751 F.2d 383 (5th Cir. 1984); <u>Shoaee v. INS</u> , 704 F.2d 1079 (9th Cir. 1983); and <u>Cabral-Avila v. INS</u> , 589 F.2d 957

Ramirez-Osorio v. INS, 745 F.2d 937, rehearing denied 751 F.2d 383 (5th Cir. 1984); Shoaee v. INS, 704 F.2d 1079 (9th Cir. 1983); and Cabral-Avila v. INS, 589 F.2d 957 (9th Cir. 1978), cert. denied 440 U.S. 920, 99 S.Ct 1245, 59 L.Ed2d 472 (1979).

Case 3:08-mj-02727-LSP

Document 4

Filed 09/08/2008

Page 11 of 12

1	Robert Schlein, counsel for Angel Fernando MARTIN-Luna							
2	David Casillas, counsel for Marvin RAMOS-Madrid							
3	Federal Defenders, counsel for Adan del Carmen RAMOS-Martinez							
	Federal Defenders, counsel for Oscar RIVERA-Ochoa Federal Defenders, counsel for Gloria Patricia RODRIGUEZ-Ramirez							
4	Oliver Cleary, counsel for Louis TORRES-Barajas							
5	Federal Defenders, counsel for Javier VALLE-Izazaga							
6								
7	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.							
8								
9	EXECUTED on September 8, 2008.							
10	/s/Jaime D. Parks JAIME D. PARKS							
11	Assistant United States Attorney							
12								
13								
14	Certificate of Service United States v. Carlos ARENAS-Natividad 08MJ2729							
15	United States v. Victor Hugo COLIN-Ventura United States v. Marco Antonio CONTRERAS-Perez 08MJ2724 08MJ2726							
16	United States v. Javier CORTES-Magana 08MJ2727							
17	United States v. Mario DIAZ-Hernandez 08MJ2741 United States v. Eric GUZMAN-Lazalde 08MJ2722							
18	United States v. Jacinto HERNANDEZ-Hernandez United States v. Angel Fernando MARTIN-Luna 08MJ2737 08MJ2725							
19	United States v. Marvin RAMOS-Madrid 08MJ2711 United States v. Adan del Carmen RAMOS-Martinez 08MJ2734							
20	United States v. Oscar RIVERA-Ochoa 08MJ2721 United States v. Gloria Patricia RODRIGUEZ-Ramirez 08MJ2657							
21	United States v. Louis TORRES-Barajas 08MJ2737							
22	United States v. Javier VALLE-Izazaga 08MJ2720							
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								